1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 SALMA AGHA-KAHN, Case No. 2:16-cv-01124-JCM-NJK 6 7 Plaintiff, **ORDER** VS. 8 (Docket No. 98) PACIFIC COMMUNITY MORTGAGE 9 INC., et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Disqualify. Docket No. 98. Defendants 13 filed a response. Docket Nos. 108, 114, 115, 118. Plaintiff did not file a reply. See Docket. 14 Plaintiff alleges bias and cites 28 U.S.C. § 455 for the proposition that the undersigned must be 15 disqualified. *Id.* In the Ninth Circuit, "[t]he standard for recusal" under section 455 is "whether a reasonable person with knowledge of all the facts would conclude the judge's impartiality 16 17 might reasonably be questioned." Taylor v. Regents of the Univ. of Cal., 993 F.2d 710, 712 (9th 18 Cir. 1993) (quoting *United States v. Studley*, 783 F.2d 934, 939 (9th Cir. 1986)). Additionally, 19 "[t]o warrant recusal, judicial bias must stem from an extrajudicial source." Taylor, 993 F.2d at 20 712. "[A] judge's prior adverse ruling is not sufficient cause for recusal." *Id*. 21 Plaintiff's request for recusal is based on orders issued in the instant case as well as 22 routine docketing. See Docket No. 98 at 3-10. Under Ninth Circuit law, this is not extrajudicial, 23 and is not sufficient cause for recusal. See Taylor, 993 F.2d at 712. 24 Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion to Disqualify, Docket No. 98, is hereby **DENIED**. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Dated: October 24, 2016 27 NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge 28